HOME ABOUT US AREAS OF STUDY EVENTS PUBLICATIONS TEAM MEDIA CAREER CONTACT US

Language

J&K Interlocutors, Indian Constitution and the Dustbin

22 Jun, 2012 Dr Makkhan Lal, Distinguished Fellow, VIF View3361 Comments 0

Jammu and Kashmir is not a problem of the Kashmiris alone but of every ordinary citizen of this country. A closer look at the corr Jawaharlal Nehru, Sardar Patel, Maharaj Hari Singh and Sheikh Abdullah; file notings and government documents published in various vc *Power* for the period between 1946 and 1950 would reveal how the problem was created, where there none and then how it was muddie ended up in a mess. I do intend to take up this issue sometime soon.

However, the Subject matter of this article is certain remarks and suggestions made by the "Group of Interlocutors for J & K" h Padgaonkar in their report "A New Compact With People of Jammu and Kashmir" submitted to the Prime Minister.

Of the many issues discussed and recommendations made the Committee has talked about Article 370 of the Constitution and marecommendations. It suggests "We recommend that a Constitutional Committee (CC) be set up to review all Central Acts and Articles of India extended to State...." Not just that it makes suggestion also what this CC should recommend: "Delete the word 'Temporary' for th 370 and from the title of Part XXI of the Constitution. Replace it with the word Special...."; Governor shall be appointed on the recommer Government; under Article 356 proviso should be added that "the Governor will keep the State Legislature under suspended anim elections within three months"; All India Service Officers (Article 312) should be reduced in favour of State civil service officers. And index make no laws applicable to the State unless it relates to the country's internal and external security..." (pp.303-6).

In relation to Article 370 the Committee wants not just the word "Temporary" be replaced by word "Special" but also wants Clauses (Important now to see what the Article 370 says:

"Article 370 of the Indian Constitution

Temporary provisions with respect to the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

- (1) Notwithstanding anything in this Constitution,-
- (a) the provisions of article 238 shall not apply in relation to the State of Jammu and Kashmir;
- (b) the power of Parliament to make laws for the said State shall be limited to--
- (i) those matters in the Union List and the Concurrent List which, in consultation with the Government of the State, are declared I correspond to matters specified in the Instrument of Accession governing the accession of the State to the Dominion of India as the mat which the Dominion Legislature may make laws for that State; and
- (ii) such other matters in the said Lists as, with the concurrence of the Government of the State, the President may by order specify.

Explanation.- For the purposes of this article, the Government of the State means the person for the time being recognised by the Preside of Jammu and Kashmir acting on the advice of the Council of Ministers for the time being in office under the Maharaja's Proclamation de March, 1948;

- (c) the provisions of article 1 and of this article shall apply in relation to that State;
- (d) such of the other provisions of this Constitution shall apply in relation to that State subject to such exceptions and modifications as th order specify:

Provided that no such order which relates to the matters specified in the Instrument of Accession of the State referred to in paragraph (i)

(b) shall be issued except in consultation with the Government of the State :

Provided further that no such order which relates to matters other than those referred to in the last preceding proviso shall be issu concurrence of that Government.

(2) If the concurrence of the Government of the State referred to in paragraph (ii) of sub-clause (b) of clause (1) or in the second provis of that clause be given before the Constituent Assembly for the purpose of framing the Constitution of the State is convened, it shall be

Assembly for such decision as it may take thereon.

(3) Not withstanding anything in the foregoing provisions of this article, the President may, by public notification, declare that this article operative or shall be operative only with such exceptions and modifications and from such date as he may specify:

Provided that the recommendation of the Constituent Assembly of the State referred to in clause (2) shall be necessary before the Pres notification."

Origin of the Problem

Sheikh Mohammad Abdullah was a school teacher who left his job in 1930 and founded 'Muslim Conference' which was later named as 'Na On 10 May, 1946 Abdullah launched a movement against the Maharaja with the slogan against him 'Quit Kashmir'. In his inflammat speech against the Maharaja and the Hindus he called for 'Jehad'. On 17 May, 1946, Abdullah exhorted his followers:

"It is time for action. You must fight slavery and enter the field of 'Jehad' as soldiers. Every man, woman and child will shout 'Quit Kas nation has expressed its will. I will ask for plebiscite."

The slogan 'Quit Kashmir' should was meant for Maharaja to 'Quit Kashmir' and hand over the reins to Abdullah. Abdullah was arrested Nehru demanded his immediate release. To demonstrate his support for Sheikh Abdullah Nehru decided to visit Kashmir in June. The banned his entry. Nehru decided to enter Kashmir defying the ban. He gave the reason for entering the state as follows:

"I am going to Kashmir firstly, to arrange for the defence of Abdullah; secondly, to see thing myself, although my visit to Srinagar wi thirdly, to do all I can to put an end to the complication arising from the policy of the State Government."¹

Nehru was advised by the Viceroy not to visit Jammu and Kashmir. But he ignored the advice of Viceroy, defied the order of the Stat decided to visit Jammu and Kashmir. He entered Jammu and Kashmir on 20 June. Nehru was arrested and subsequently released on brought back to Delhi. "This event, besides causing a great public stir, permanently impaired the relation between Maharaja Hari Si subsequent events showed, Nehru was never able to get over his hostility towards Maharaja and Sheikh Abdullah exploited it to the hilt."

Widening Fissures

Nehru's overture to Sheikh Abdullah and open dislike to Maharaja had made the Maharaja very skeptical. He saw no scope of being trea what to say of Abdullah who has been vying for his blood. After the announcement of Mountbatten's plan of June 3, 1947, Mountbatter the third week of June. He tried to persuade Maharaja Hari Singh to make-up his mind for joining one dominion or the other i.e. either In Maharaja could not take decision even after the dead-line of August 14, 1947 passed and transfer of power took place with the clock him 15, 1947. Maharaja could not decide primarily because of Nehru-Abdullah nexus on the one hand and the machinations of Jinnah on the because of his own desire to be an independent kingdom with himself and his successors as the sole ruler. ³

Nevertheless, Sardar Patel was trying to retrieve the lost ground due to Nehru's recklessness. On 3 July, 1947 he wrote directly to the Ma

"I am sorry to find that there is considerable misapprehension in your mind about the Congress. Allow me to assure your Highness that only not your enemy, as you happen to believe, but there are in the Congress many strong supporters of your state... Pandit Jawahark Kashmir. He is proud of it, and rest assured he can never by your enemy... as a sincere friend and well-wisher of the state, I wish to a interest of Kashmir lies in joining the Indian Union and its Constituent Assembly without any delay."

Pakistan's Attack on J & K

Maharaja delayed signing the Instrument of Accession and by September 1947, due to joint Command held by the British Command Mountbatten almost certainly knew of Pakistan's plan to attack J & K which happened on 22 October. From here began another character of Kashmir.

Nehru insisted that anything in Kashmir can be retrieved only if Sheikh Abdullah were appointed the Head of Administration which fina October, 1947. Once at the helm of affairs Sheikh started taking decisions without consulting the Maharaja. Gopalaswamy Ayyanga Portfolio, started meddling in Kashmir affairs, and also poisoning Nehru against Patel. Thus, Nehru, Mountbatten, Sheikh Abdullah combined started ignoring Patel, to whose domain Kashmir affairs belonged, and started taking independent decisions. The situation re when Gopalaswamy started giving orders to Kashmir Administration, Army Chief General Thimayya etc. Patel considered it inappropriate Gopalaswamy:

"I feel that this matter should have been referred to and dealt with by the Ministry of States who would, if necessary, have utilised your the desired arrangements. I would, therefore, suggest that the related papers may now be transferred to the States Ministry and in Administration may be asked to deal with the Ministry direct."⁵

What Patel said in the above letter to Gopalaswamy was perfectly in order. After the Instrument of Accession was signed Kashmir had part of India. It was the job of the Ministry of States [now the Ministry of Home Affairs] to look into its administrative problems which me be dealt by no other person except Sardar Patel. This also meant that Mountbatten, Nehru, Gopalaswamy and Abdullah could not hav Kashmir affairs. Gopalaswamy poisoned Nehru on the issue to such an extent that Nehru wrote what can easily be termed as the most cabinet colleague, to say nothing of the status of the recipient, Sardar Patel. Nehru, defending Gopalaswamy and his actions, wrote to Pal

"Gopalaswamy has sent me copies of correspondence which has passed between him and you... I do not appreciate the principle wh States Ministry has in view in regard to its work.... The present issue relates to Kashmir. This raises all manner of connected issues—in and others—which are beyond the competence of the States Ministry as such...And that is why I have to take personal interest in this mat about co-ordination in our various activities... I really do not understand where the States Ministry comes into picture except that it shou of steps taken." ⁶

Nehru did not stop just there. To add insult to injury, he further wrote in the same letter: "May I say that the manner of approach to hardly in keeping with the courtesy due to a colleague?"⁷

Any person of even a little self-respect would have done what Sardar did. He immediately, after receiving Nehru's letter, wrote on his le hand the following brief letter in reply:

"My dear Jawaharlal,

23 December, 1947

Your letter of today has been received just now at 7 p.m. and I am writing immediately to tell you this. It has caused me considerable pa Before I received your letter I had already written to Gopalaswamy a letter of which a copy is enclosed herewith...

In any case, your letter makes it clear to me that I must not or at least cannot continue as a member of Government and hence I am heresignation. I am grateful to you for the courtesy and kindness shown to me during the period of office which was period of considerable s

Yours sincerely,

Vallabhbhai Patel." 8

This letter was marked 'Draft'. Before the letter could be typed and dispatched the news reached out to some, including Nehru and Patel to pursue the matter any further. But this 'Draft' letter remained in file of Sardar Patel.

In the matter of Kashmir Sardar Patel was completely marginalised. Nehru was taking decision on his own and decided to refer the r aggression to UNO rather than treating it simply as an act of aggression and dealing with it accordingly. ⁹

Sheikh Abdullah and His Duplicity

The real face of Sheikh Abdullah started coming out of the mask once the Maharaja was out of the way, Sardar was side-lined, and Ne and confused, after having messed up everything. The Maharaja had washed his hands off, virtually, due to the attitude of Nehru. In a Patel he expressed his anguish in following words:

"Sheikh Abdullah and Bakshi are virtual dictators and they have complete power. I wonder what we are expected to do and what the when Mr. Mahajan and I have stepped aside are we to be blamed and coerced?" 10

On 31 December, 1947 Ram Chandra Kak had written to Mahatma Gandhi explaining the whole situation in Kashmir. ¹¹

Once Sheikh Abdullah succeeded in taking over control of the State Government, he started to implement his design to take the control With each passing day Abdullah's conduct became increasingly unbecoming of a Head of a Government of a State. He became more and attack.

On 29 September 1948 Abdullah gave an interview to the foreign correspondents which not only revealed his mind but also his long ter interview he virtually declared independence of Jammu and Kashmir. Sardar Patel very well knew the dubious character of Sheikh Abdu just could not allow Abdullah to get away with the interview. Patel not only took note of it but also communicated to Nehru in most una September 30, 1948:

"I am surprised to read this morning an account of the Press Conference which Sheikh Mohammad Abdullah is reported to have held here ... It is undignified and constitutionally improper for a Prime Minister [Sheikh Abdullah] to attack the Constitutional Head of his Administ well that the latter is not in a position to defend himself or to retaliate....

"Sheikh Sahib has also referred to certain people in India who believe in surrendering Kashmir to Pakistan. I should like to be enlightened far as my information goes, there are many more of such people in Jammu and Kashmir State than in the whole India put together....

"I hope Sheikh Sahib realises that nobody has been more accommodating to him than the Government of India and none has exten understanding and sympathy in his struggle than the people of India... I think it would be difficult to find a parallel in constitutional hi attack, which he has made on Maharaja. I hope you will succeed in impressing upon him the mistake he has made." ¹³

Patel never minced words while dealing with the issues. He wrote to Sheikh on the same day, he wrote to Nehru. Patel advised Abdulla such gimmickry and also desist from speaking to press in the interest of Kashmir and the country. His unhappiness over the conduct understood by the fact that he sent this letter to Abdullah "c/o The Prime Minister of India." ¹⁴

Sardar Patel wrote also to Gopalaswamy Iyyangar, who was put in-charge of Kashmir affairs by Nehru, highlighting several points in Abd is interesting to note what Gopalaswamy wrote to Patel:

"Before I saw the account of it in the newspapers, I had been told that orally by a person who had been present there of a good deal that not appeared in the Newspapers. What was not reported in the papers, I gathered, was even more unjustifiable than what did appear

Despite all this, both Nehru and Gopalaswamy Ayyangar got on the business of covering-up. They tried to show that Abdullah w Jawaharlal wrote to Sardar Patel:

"I entirely agree with you that some of the statements that Sheikh Abdullah made in regard to Maharaja were very indiscreet and she made.... He is not a very clear thinker and he goes astray in his speech as many of our politicians do." 16

Call for Independent Kashmir

Sheikh Abdullah started showing his true colours sooner than many may have expected. He now started clamouring for an 'Independer giving calls to the landlords, who has gone to Pakistan, to come back. Abdullah declared in an interview to a foreign corresponden Davidson of *Scotsman*, dated 14 April, 1949):

"Accession to either side cannot bring peace. We want to live in friendship with both Dominions... an Independent Kashmir must be gua India and Pakistan but also by Britain, the United States and other members of the United Nations.... Yes independence – guaranteed by – may be the only solution." ¹⁷

Everyone was horrified at this statement of Abdullah. Even Gopalaswamy, normally so sympathetic and accommodative to Abdullah, wrot

"My attention was drawn to the content of his interview earlier in the day. It is most astonishing performance.... I condemn the Sheikhs a that what he has told Michael Davidson and what the latter has published will have the most serious and mischievous consequences abroad... reading between the lines, I suspect a plan, the first step of which is this blessing by the Premier of Kashmir of the idea Kashmir...and the final step of which may well be perhaps one of the greatest betrayals in history." 18

Still we do not see any reaction coming from Nehru!

Reopening the Matter in the Constituent Assembly

The framing of the Constitution of India was virtually in the final stages when the above interview of Sheikh Abdullah was published, made in-charge of framing the Article/Clauses dealing with Kashmir. A draft was finally agreed upon among Jawaharlal Nehru, Sardar Porton. Ambedkar, the Maharaja of Jammu and Kashmir, Sheikh Abdullah and three of his colleagues – Mirza Mohd. Afsal Beg, Maulana Mand Moti Ram Baigra. It was also approved by the Congress Party and the Constituent Assembly. The Article dealing with Kashmir in known as Article 306A. But after Abdullah and party went back to Srinagar, they started raising objections to this draft. Abdullah argued of Indian Constitution applicable on all other states should not be applicable on Jammu and Kashmir. He just would not listen to any reason.

It transpires that this move of his had the blessings of Jawaharlal Nehru. It was perhaps Nehru who advised Abdullah to seek the he securing the Special Provisions for Jammu and Kashmir. After giving a patient hearing Ambedkar said to Abdullah:

"India should provide all the money for the governance and development of your state. India should undertake to defend your state a other aggressors. Indians should die to defend your state. You will have a separate constitution, separate head of state, separate sets of flag. Your people can buy and own land anywhere in rest of India but none who was not born in Jammu and Kashmir could recipror property in Jammu and Kashmir. Indians should be taxed to develop your land, to defend it from all aggressions and you have no oblindian Union. I can never agree to such treatment to any state." ¹⁹

It is very difficult to guess whether Gopalaswamy was honest in writing in what he wrote to Patel after Abdullah's interview with Davids playing games. Nevertheless, he lamented that it was unimaginable and hurtful that:

"after having agreed to the substance of our draft both at your [Sardar Patel's] house and at the party meeting, they [Abdullah and com and Panditji down in the manner they were attempting to do." ²⁰

But the story gets murkier as we proceed. Though Gopalaswamy and Nehru were blaming Abdullah for the impasse and trying to impres were unhappy with Abdullah's behaviour, somewhere behind Patel's back game was still on. Gopalaswamy, on 15 October 1949 se consideration a draft of the article to be incorporated in the Constitution, replacing Article 306A dealing with Kashmir. Gopalaswam mention in his covering letter that he had prepared this new draft, in place of the one agreed upon by all:

"Without giving up the essential stands we have taken in our original draft, read just it in minor particulars in a way which I am hopi would agree to." 21

Patel was aghast at the draft. What Gopalaswamy was calling 'minor' adjustments and in all essentials the original draft, was seen by Sar in reply to Gopalaswamy:

"I find there are some substantial changes over the original draft, particularly in regard to the applicability of fundamental rights and di state policy. You can yourself realise the anomaly of the state becoming part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and at the same time not recognising any of these part of India and India

"I do not at all like any change after our party has approved of the whole arrangement in the presence of Sheikh Sahib himself. Whe wishes to back out, he always confronts us with his duty to the people. Of course, he owes no duty to India or to the Indian Governi personal basis to you and the Prime Minister who have gone all out to accommodate him.

"In these circumstances, any question of my approval does not arise. If you feel it is right thing to do, you can go ahead with it." 22

Things became more and more complicated due to the reopening of the Final Constitution Draft, already unanimously approved Assembly, and that too without anybody raising any note of criticism. Abdullah and three of his colleagues were present when this d dealing with Kashmir was approved by the Assembly. They also did not raise any objection or move any amendment to it. Still, Gopal thought it prudent to open the chapter and start drafting the whole thing afresh (which came to be known as Article 370) as per the w Sheikh Abdullah and his cronies.

Article 370 was inserted in the Constitution, purely as a temporary arrangement, to deal with Kashmir. We must not forget that this Arti status to the Jammu and Kashmir, not enjoyed by any other state (altogether 563) who signed Instrument of Accession. However, des still being played. Sardar Patel's death on 15 December 1950 removed whatever restraint there was there in the path of Nehru and S longer were there any friends or colleagues within the party or in the Cabinet who could apply the brakes on Nehru's recklessness. At Nehru was able to grant even the most unreasonable demands and under Nehru-Abdullah Pact. He virtually agreed to all of Abdullah's was granted special status and now with the Nehru-Abdullah Pact, Article 370 which was merely provisional and very transitory became p

Jittery Nehru, Frankenstein Abdullah

History proved that Nehru's faith and good will towards Sheikh Abdullah was misplaced Sheikh Mohammad Abdullah had to be 'dethrone none other than Nehru himself.

Let us recall the discussions between Nehru and Gopalaswamy on the one hand and Sardar Patel on the other. On the insistence of Shei course, with the blessings of Nehru, Article 306A was replaced by Article 370, despite Sardar Patel's flat 'No'. When Article 370 of Constituent Assembly even though purely as "transitional and temporary" Patel, in conversations with members, said, 'Jawahrlal royega.' of Nehru's fits and tantrums Sardar stopped arguing or interfering in the departments looked after by Nehru. Like-wise, he ignored Neh it came to the affairs of the Ministry of States to the extent that he did not bother to tell Nehru and Rajagopalachari his exact plans about came to know about it only after the army had already entered in Hyderabad and preparations were being made for Nizam's surrender. persuading the Cabinet to overrule Mountbatten and entrust the Indian army with the operations against Nawab of Junagadh.

Kashmir was drifting towards despotic anarchy. Patel was well aware of it. Nehru's handling was making it messier. Even Bakshi (became so perturbed that he met Patel and requested him:

"Why do you not take over the problem and finish it like Hyderabad."

Patel replied cryptically:

"You go to your friend [Pandit Nehru] and tell him to keep his hands off Kashmir problem for two months and I will undertake to solve it."

Conclusions

Let us visit back to pp.1-2 of this article and see the provisions of Clauses 1 and 3 of Article 370. Once we remove it then in what way w State of Union of India and what will be the validity of signing of Instrument of Accession? The Clause 2 of Article 370 itself flows fror already outlived its purpose. If Clauses 1 and 3 are deleted; the death of Clause 2 will be a by-product.

The Interlocutors have recommended a review of all the Central Acts and Articles of the Constitution of India extended to the State af mean 1975 Accord between Mrs. Indira Gandhi (Government of India) and Sheikh Abdullah (signed on their behalf by G. Parthasarthi an Afzal Beg on 13 Nov. 1974) should also be reviewed and discarded. Clauses 1 and 2 of this Accord say:

The State of Jammu and Kashmir which is a constituent unit of the Union of India, shall, in its relation with the Union, continue to be governed by Constitution of India.

The residuary powers of legislation shall remain with the State; however, Parliament will continue to have power to make laws relating to the prev directed towards disclaiming, questioning or disrupting the sovereignty and territorial integrity of India or bringing about cession of a part of the te secession of a part of the territory of India from the Union or causing insult to the Indian National Flag, the Indian National Anthem and the Consti

It seems that group of interlocutors have hardly applied their mind on the historical and the constitutional legacy of the Jammu and whether the unwritten mandate of the Interlocutors was to recommend the secession J & K from India. Should the Constitution of India bin?

Acknowledgement

Author is grateful to Ambassador P.P. Shuka, Ambassador Satish Chandra and Admiral Barry Bharathan for kindly going through the valuable suggestions. Ambassador Shukla's editing brought in a lot of clarity in the article.

Notes and References,

Sardar Patel's Correspondence: 1945-50, vol.1. (ed.) Durga Das Ahmedabad, p. xcii Jagmohan, 1991, My Frozen Turbulence in Kashmir, Allied Put V.P. Menon, 1957, Transfer of Power in India, Hyderabad, p. 452

Letter from Patel to the Maharaja of Kashmir, dated 3 July, 1947. Sardar Patel's Correspondence: 1945-50, vol.1. (ed.) Durga Das Ahmedabad, pp. Patel to Gopalaswamy Ayyangar (letter dated 22 December, 1947), Ibid. pp. 118-119. Nehru to Patel (letter dated 23 December, 1947), Ibid. pp. 122.

Nehru to the Maharaja of Jammu and Kashmir (letter dated 21 December, 1947), *Ibid.* pp. 124-127. See also letter (of 15 August, 1948) from Mol *Ibid.* pp. 220-222.

The Maharaja of Jammu and Kashmir to Patel (letter dated 1 January, 1948), *Ibid.* p. 147. R.C. Kak to Mahatma Gandhi (letter dated 30 December For details see Maharaja's letter to Patel (dated 6 August, 1948) and enclosed summary of Maharaja's talk with Sheikh Abdullah and Bakshi Ghular took place on 5 August, 1948, *Ibid.* pp. 212-215.

Patel to Nehru (letter dated 30 September, 1948), *Ibid.* pp. 227-228. Patel to Sheikh Abdullah (letter dated 30 September, 1948), *Ibid.* pp. 228-23 See their letters to Patel, *Ibid.* pp. 230-233. *Ibid* Sheikh Abdullah's interview with Machael Davidson published in *The Scotman,* on 14 April, 1949. A N. Gopalaswamy Ayyangar to Patel (letter dated 1 May, 1949) *Ibid.* p. 267-68. Balraj Madhok, 1954, *Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee: A Biography,* D Gopalaswamy Ayyangar to Patel (letter dated 15 October, 1949) *Ibid.* p. 302. *Ibid.* Patel to Gopalaswamy (latter dated 16 October, 1949), Ibid. p. Rajmohan Gandhi. 1991, *Patel: A Biography,* Ahmedabad, p. 517. V. Shankar, 1974, *My Reminiscences of Sardar Patel,* Bombay, p.214

Published Date: 22th June 2012

Post new comment

Your name: *

Anonymous

E-mail: *

The content of this field is kept private and will not be shown publicly.

Comment: *

Message*

Input format

I'm not a robot

reCAPTCHA

Save

Preview

Related Articles



Parties and Coalition Politics
India has a multi-party
system. It is estimated

Commentary: Rise of Regional



Commentary: Fifty-Fourth Death Anniversary of Pandit Jawahar It is over fifty years that Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru passed away a



Who is Pushing Surrender to Ch Dr. Lobsar attempt to Lama's retur



म्यांमार से आने वाले श� हाल ही में मिज़ोरम सरकार न

that over 2100 re



Commentary: Pre-eminent Issues of Governance in Arunachal Pr
Arunachal Pradesh (AP) is the 29th state of India, wit



Commentary: M with Refugee In Myanma

The Mizoram has recentl alert on migr

भारतीय राजनीति में गठब आधुनिक भारत में संसदीय औ� Why Economic Survey of India 2018 is Path-breaking
The Economic Survey of India (ESI) has a short shelf-life in the

A Study on Skills and Employment Strateg

Introduction The focus of the st





Contact Us



About Us

The Vivekananda International Foundation (VIF) is a New Delhi-based think tank set up with the collaborative efforts of India's leading security experts, diplomats, industrialists and philanthropists under the aegis of the Vivekananda Kendra. The VIF's objective is to become a centre of excellence to kick start innovative ideas and thoughts that can lead ...

Read More

Name* Email Id* Phone Number* Message* I'm not a robot reCAPTCHA Privacy-Terms Submit

Tweet With Us



VIF India @vifindia

The organs are the horses, the rein, the intellect is the chariotes the rider, and the body is the character of the household, the Kir of man, is sitting in this chariot.' #SwamiVivekananda



Home About Us Area of Study Events Team Media Career Contact Us VISITORS: StatCounter - Free Web Tracker and Counter

© 2017 Vivekananda International Foundation.